

EXHIBIT 5

Al C. Edwards, M.D.

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
3 IN RE DEPAKOTE: RHEALYN)
4 ALEXANDER, et al.,)
5) Case No.
6 Plaintiffs,) 12-52-NJR-SCW
7)
8 v.) LEAD CONSOLIDATED
9) CASE
10 ABBOTT LABORATORIES INC.,)
11)
12 Defendants.)
13 _____)

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DEPOSITION OF AL C. EDWARDS, M.D.
Monday, October 3, 2016
Greenville, South Carolina
8:59 a.m.

REPORTED BY: Karen K. Kidwell, RMR, CRR

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com

Al C. Edwards, M.D.

1 Q. Okay. And so what was your treatment plan
2 for Ms. Burnett at this visit on March 10th, 1999?

3 A. Given her past history, and Clozaril in of
4 itself can have some risks, just like a lot of other
5 drugs to pregnant women, at least I thought the
6 risk-benefit ratio, that this was a lady that had
7 been, you know, pretty severely ill at times and
8 tried to chase people with butcher knives and tried
9 to commit suicide and things like that so I thought
10 the risk-benefit kind of weighed to the fact that
11 keep her on the Clozaril. So that's what we did.

12 Q. Okay. But you did not continue her on or
13 start her again on Depakote?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Okay. All right. Then one more record
16 I'd like to look at is 125.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. Is this your note of a May 12th, 1999
19 visit by Ms. Burnett?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. "We discussed at length this current
22 pregnancy problems with the baby, spina bifida,
23 hydrocephalus and possible other problems"?

24 A. Right.

25 Q. Did you see where I read that?

1 THE WITNESS: No.

2 BY MS. WILLIAMSON:

3 Q. And, Doctor, we've established that you
4 recommended that Ms. Burnett take Depakote in 1999
5 for possible seizure disorder, correct?

6 A. And it was being utilized as a mood
7 stabilizer as well and she had a history of
8 schizoaffective illness so it also may provide some
9 adjunctive treatment in that area.

10 Q. Was your decision to prescribe Depakote in
11 to Ms. Burnett in 1999 based upon your belief that
12 the benefits of the drug outweighed the risks based
13 on the information provided to you at the time?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And, Doctor, you were treating Ms. Burnett
16 with Depakote, not a baby, correct?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Okay. Do you have any independent
19 recollection of any conversations you had with
20 Ms. Burnett concerning any antiepileptic drug?

21 A. Other than what's in the record, I don't
22 have any -- really recollection of conversations like
23 that.

24 Q. 17 years ago?

25 A. Yeah.

1 how she responded to those medications, would be a
2 significant factor in terms of what other possible
3 treatment options might have been available to you in
4 January 1999?

5 A. It could have been. You know, I mean,
6 obviously, she could have been treated for a seizure
7 disorder with Dilantin or Phenobarbital or whatever.
8 Those drugs, although they would -- they might
9 address some of the issues she was having, they
10 haven't -- they hadn't really been used in the
11 affective component of people's illness that I'm --
12 with any success. Tegretol may be a little bit --
13 there was some people -- some people that used
14 Tegretol with a -- almost as a dual purpose, but it
15 actually turned out not to be so helpful.

16 Q. All right. Let me ask you this: Do
17 you -- you stand behind your decision to prescribe
18 Depakote for Ms. Burnett's --

19 A. I think it was -- I think it was a good
20 choice for her illness. She wasn't pregnant when we
21 started the drug. Unfortunately, she got pregnant.

22 I -- and the baby had -- evidently, you
23 know, had a neural tube defect. And that's a bad
24 thing. And this lady was a nice lady. And it was an
25 unfortunate thing.

1 It's unfortunately that she became pregnant.

2 That's an unfortunate thing. She was one of the
3 few people that took -- actually was a fairly
4 low dose of Depakote and ended up with a baby
5 with this problem.

6 If the risk to her fetus had been
7 50 percent, 25 percent as opposed to 1 percent,
8 could that have influenced my decision to
9 prescribe Depakote to her? Yes, it could have.
10 Would it absolutely have done that? Maybe not.

11 BY MR. EVANS:

12 Q. Fair to say you just don't know as you sit
13 here today whether or not it would have -- it would
14 have -- it would have changed your decision to
15 prescribe or not?

16 A. I do not know that.

17 Q. Okay. All right. And I think as you told
18 us, in reality for Ms. Burnett in terms of the
19 drilling down on the reason you were prescribing
20 Depakote for her, and there was these two-fold
21 reasons, right?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. There was the seizure disorder and then
24 there was also the schizoaffective disorder, right?

25 A. That's correct.

Al C. Edwards, M.D.

1 Q. I mean, there -- the reality is that she
2 needed treatment, correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Okay. And Depakote may very well have
5 been the only reasonable treatment for her condition
6 at that time?

7 MS. WILLIAMSON: Object to the form.

8 Leading. Asked and answered.

9 THE WITNESS: It was one that seemed to be
10 a more simple regimen and has potential benefit.
11 I mean, whether one could construct a different
12 treatment regimen to come out with the same
13 result, it would have been more complex and
14 maybe just as risky.

15 BY MR. EVANS:

16 Q. Certainly as you sit here right now, you
17 can't say that there was another, you know, treatment
18 regimen that you thought was a more reasonable
19 treatment regimen than the Depakote?

20 MS. WILLIAMSON: Object to form. Asked
21 and answered. Mischaracterizes prior testimony.

22 THE WITNESS: That's right.

23 BY MR. EVANS:

24 Q. All right, Doctor. Let's run through some
25 records with you.

Al C. Edwards, M.D.

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. And so --

3 Q. Let me ask you this: Did you have an
4 understanding, Doctor, of the -- in 1999, do you
5 believe you had an understanding of the comparative
6 risks between Depakote and other AED medications?

7 MS. WILLIAMSON: Object to the form.

8 Vague.

9 THE WITNESS: I probably knew some -- may
10 have had an understanding of some of the risks.
11 But none of the other medications, except maybe
12 just some anecdotal case reports with Tegretol,
13 had really showed any efficacy in what I was
14 giving it to her for.

15 BY MR. EVANS:

16 Q. Okay. Oh, yeah, okay. So I see what
17 you're saying. So just in terms of, you know,
18 potential -- even potential treatments from an
19 efficacy standpoint, Tegretol was the only one that
20 might have shown any potential efficacy?

21 MS. WILLIAMSON: Object to form.

22 Mischaracterizes his testimony.

23 BY MR. EVANS:

24 Q. Is that -- okay.

25 A. Right.

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Karen K. Kidwell, Registered Merit
Reporter and Notary Public for the State of South
Carolina at Large, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing deposition was taken
before me on the date and at the time and location
stated on page 1 of this transcript; that the
deponent was duly sworn to testify to the truth, the
whole truth and nothing but the truth; that the
testimony of the deponent and all objections made at
the time of the examination were recorded
stenographically by me and were thereafter
transcribed; that the foregoing deposition as typed
is a true, accurate and complete record of the
testimony of the deponent and of all objections made
at the time of the examination to the best of my
ability.

I further certify that I am neither related
to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or
interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand this 10th day of October, 2016.

Karen K. Kidwell,
Registered Merit Reporter
Notary Public
State of South Carolina at Large
My Commission expires:
August 21, 2024